FOR MYSORE STATE

Rev. (195 /) Department.

Part 1 Rl

RL SERIES.

G.O. NO. 607 3

Dated 7-9-51

[Despatch Abstract.]

Honours - 1 H - Claim of Janab Md Abdullah - Assistant Registration Officer Bellary (on leave) - For the month of September 1950 - Sanctioned

BOARD OF REVENUE (EXCISE) DEPARTMENT

***

Subject:- Allowances - Travelling allowance - Claim of Janab Md. Abdullah - Assistant Prohibition Officer, Bellary (on leave) - For the month of September 1950 - Disallowed by the District Prohibition Officer - Petition.

82956

Read: From Janab Md. Abdullah, dated 10.5.1951.
From the Deputy Commissioner of Prohibition (Enforcement), North, Rc. 2413/51-G, dated 18.6.1951.

C.R.No.18007 Abk/51-X.D.3.

Station: Triplicane, Madras 5. Dated: 23.7.1951.



From

Sri V. Krishnamurti B.A.,
Assistant Secretary, Board of Revenue(Excise).

***

Sri V.N. Kudva, I.C.S.,
Member, Board of Revenue and Commissioner of Commercial Taxes.

*****

REFERENCE:-

The Board submits herewith the arrear Travelling allowance bill of Janab Md. Abdullah, Assistant Prohibition Officer, Bellary (on leave) for Rs.21-1-0 for the month of September 1950 and reports as under.

The District Prohibition Officer, Bellary, refused to admit the T.A. claim of the Assistant Prohibition Officer for the month of September 1950 on the ground that it was received after 3 months of its becoming due. In his petition cited, the officer states that he submitted his T.A. claims for September and October 1950 on 17.10.1950 and 5.12.1950 respectively by Registered post. When he was given to understand that both the bills sent by him were not received in the District Prohibition Officer's Office, he submitted duplicate copies of the bills on 3.1.1951 by Registered Post acknowledgement due. The bill for October 1950 was passed for payment, the bill for September '50 was refused as it was received after 3 months of the completion of the Journey covered by the bill. The District Prohibition Officer states that the bill for 9/50 marked duplicate was received for the first time, in his office only on 5.1.1951 and as the claim was over 3 months old and as the original bill said to have been sent on 17.10.1950 was neither forthcoming nor there was any proof for the Assistant Prohibition Officer's statement.

From the connected ~~bill~~ file of the office of the District Prohibition Officer, Bellary, it is seen that the Assistant Prohibition Officer, while on leave, has produced a postal receipt dated 17.10.1950, for a registered cover and it is reported by the Assistant Prohibition Officer that the cover contained his T.A. bill for September 1950. ~~in that cover.~~ It is to be presumed that there was no necessity for the Assistant prohibition Officer to send any communication except his T.A. bills by Registered Post incurring a certain amount from his pocket. In the absence of the District

P.T.O.

District Prohibition Officer's remarks to the contrary, the Board has to accept the Assistant Prohibition Officer's statement as true. The T.A.Claim of the Assistant Prohibition Officer for September 1950 may therefore be admitted.

In the circumstances stated above, the Board requests that the Government may be pleased to sanction the payment of T.A. due to the Officer for the month of September 1950 as a special case.

26/7/51

ASSISTANT SECRETARY.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Development Department. (with bill)

AC.25.7.

Pl. 26/7

15

Copy of letter from Janab Mohamed Abdullah, Assistant Prohibition Officer, (on leave) 1/74 Bazaar Road, Madras-4 to the Assistant Secretary, Board of Revenue (Excise) Madras. through the proper channel.

Sub: Allowance - Travelling claim of Janab Mohamed Abdullah Assistant Prohibition Officer, Adoni for September 1950 Disallowed by the District Prohibition Officer, Bellary.

Ref: Assistant Prohibition Officer's letter dated 9-3-51 and Bellary District Prohibition Officer's reply L.Dis.1546 B3 dated 22-3-51, copies of both submitted to the Board.

May I bring to your esteemed notice that I submitted my Travelling allowance claim for September and October 1950 on 17-10-50 and 5-12-50 respectively by registered post to the District Prohibition Officer, Bellary. The claim for September 1950 was abnormally delayed. I went to the Office of the District Prohibition Officer Bellary on 31-10-50 to ascertain at what stage the matter stood. I was given to understand that the bill could not be passed earlier as there was no district Prohibition Officer (Sri J.C. Doss proceeded on leave and Sri P. Rajagopalachari joined just then) that the claim would be passed at an early date and the amount sent to me. I would have got the bill passed then there but the clerk concerned was on casual leave. It was shocking to me to hear on 18-12-50 that both the bills were said to have not been received. They were sent by registered post on two different occasions and the non receipt of both deserves notice of the Commissioner. I submitted duplicate claim for these months by Registered post acknowledgment due on 3-1-51. The bill for October 1950 was passed and I receive the amount on 13-1-51 by M.O. Nothing was known for about September 1950 T.A. On a reference made for the T.A. bill for September, it was returned with an endorsement that the T.A. claim submitted after 3 months of performing the journey could not be passed etc. A perusal of my reference dated 9-3-51 to the District Prohibition officer resubmitting the T.A. bill with postal receipts will reveal the whole truth.

The District Prohibition Officer relied in his letter L.Dis.1546-51 B3 dated 22-3-51 '------- More over the postal receipt does not show that he has sent the T.A bill of 9/50 on that date. Hence no further consideration is possible.

Nothing is stated in the above letter as to how both the bills sent by Registered post on two different occasions had not been received. It is really SURPRISING to note that the postal receipt did not show that I sent the bill on that date. Can there be any instance in which the Post Office acknowledges the contents of the cover. So far my knowledge goes, it is responsible for the receipt of the cover only. The District Prohibition officer's arugument is therefore groundless.

Lastly I submit that the whole matter may kindly be considered. My duplicate T.A. claim for September 1950 and postal receipts dated 17-10-50 and 3-12-50 now withheld

P.T.O.

in the District Prohibition Officer's office may kindly be called for, for necessary action in the interest of truth and justice. For which act of kindness I shall ever remain grateful to you

---

/TRUE COPY/

SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT.

115/8/9

Dev (S) Dept

Cr. 82956/57

Allowances - Travelling allowance - claim of Jamab Md. Abdullah - Asst. Prohibition officer, Bellary (on leave) - for the month of September 1950 - Sanctioned

Read :-

CF From the Bd of Rev (Exc) CR No 1800/ A6K/57 x A & 23-7-57

GO Rt No 607 Dt 7.9.57

In the circumstances stated by the Board of Rev. in its Reference read above the Govt. sanction the payment of Travelling allowance due to Jamab Md. Abdullah, Asst. Prohibition officer, Bellary (on leave), for the month of September 1950, as a special ca

Note

Fin. no. for acceptance of draft overleaf.

Fin

Fin

Fin no Nil
82956 S/57

Finance (CR)

The draft order overleaf may be accepted. ~~There case with~~ HM (Fin) may see as a relaxation of the Note under article 52 and 54 of M.F.C Vol I is involved.

Fin 2 - 57489 CR1
8.8.57

Fin

D.S. Secy

Fin no Labour

The draft order overleaf may ~~now~~ issue after circulation

87662

6 AUG 1951
57489

SENIOR ASSISTANT
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

82956
57
17/8

Dev (J) Dept

BHS

Please see 2 in note at P 2 ante

H.M (Ad.) P
H.M (Fin.)

for ... ante

The file may be circulated. ~~H.M~~ for orders whether the draft order at platform may issue.

13/8/51

14/8

H.M (Prog)

H.M Fin

2/8/51

N.9
4.9

each

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
6 SEP 1951
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

DEPUTY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
[illegible] 1951
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT